SUMMARY

Beginning in 2018-19, this bill increases the cap on school district reserves in years following a deposit into the Public School System Stabilization Account, exempts small and basic aid school districts from the reserve cap requirement, and specifies that only unassigned general fund and a portion of special reserve fund ending balances are counted for purposes of the reserve cap requirement.

BACKGROUND

Existing law places a cap on school district reserves in years following a deposit in the Public School System Stabilization Account, established by Proposition 2 (2014). Additionally, districts are required to disclose certain information about their reserves each year. Specifically, in a fiscal year immediately after a fiscal year in which a transfer is made to the Public School System Stabilization Account, a school district budget that is adopted or revised may not have a combined assigned or unassigned ending fund balance that is in excess of the following:

1) For school districts with fewer than 400,000 units of average daily attendance (ADA), the sum of the school district’s applicable minimum recommended reserve for economic uncertainties adopted by the State Board of Education (SBE), as specified, multiplied by two.

2) For school districts with more than 400,000 units of ADA, the sum of the school district’s applicable minimum recommended reserve for economic uncertainties adopted by the SBE, as specified, multiplied by three.

Existing law authorizes a county superintendent of schools to grant a school district under its jurisdiction an exemption from the cap for up to two consecutive fiscal years within a three-year period if the school district provides documentation indicating that extraordinary fiscal circumstances, including, but not limited to, multi-year infrastructure or technology projects, substantiate the need for a combined assigned or unassigned ending fund balance that is in excess of the minimum recommended reserve for economic uncertainties. As a condition of receiving an exemption, a school district shall do all of the following:

1) Provide a statement that substantiates the need for an assigned and unassigned ending fund balance that is in excess of the minimum recommended reserve for economic uncertainties.
2) Identify the funding amounts in the budget adopted by the school district that are associated with the extraordinary fiscal circumstances.

3) Provide documentation that no other fiscal resources are available to fund the extraordinary fiscal circumstances. (Education Code § 42127.01)

ANALYSIS

Beginning in 2018-19, this bill:

1) Narrows which funds within a school district’s reserve shall be subject to a cap to the unassigned ending balances in the school district’s general fund and special reserve fund for other than capital outlay projects.

2) Narrows which funds within a school district’s reserve shall be the basis for whether or not a school district must publically disclose information about their reserves to the unassigned ending balances in the school district’s general fund and special reserve fund for other than capital outlay projects.

3) Increases the cap on school district reserves, as more narrowly defined above, to 17 percent of a district’s budget.

4) Exempts school districts with fewer than 2,501 units of average daily attendance and basic aid school districts from the reserve cap requirement.

STAFF COMMENTS

1) **Need for the bill.** According to the author’s office, local educational control is the very premise of the state’s major reform movement with the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) and the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP). Local school districts need to have the ability to make budget decisions that best serve their students and allow planning for economic uncertainties before a crisis hits. The longer the current cap is in place, the more it threatens the ability of California’s schools to maintain their fiscal solvency and provide their students the best possible education.

With budget projections looking uncertain in the coming years, the trigger for the cap may be activated in coming budget cycles. This could cause districts to spend their rainy day funds, creating turmoil for school districts, bond rating agencies, school boards and school administrators, and putting districts at risk of state bailout.

The cap affects small and basic aid districts in different ways. Small districts, by definition, have low average daily attendance, which means they also have small budgets. Applying a 6 percent cap to these districts would result in inadequate reserves. The concern with basic aid districts is that they do not receive state funding, therefore they would not benefit from a distribution from the state’s school reserve account when conditions warrant. Further, basic aid districts only
receive funding from their counties twice a year and must keep additional monies in reserve for cash flow purposes.

2) **When will the reserve cap requirement be triggered?** The reserve cap requirement is triggered once the state makes a deposit into the Public School System Stabilization Account, which only occurs when certain conditions are met. Among these conditions, Test 1 must be the applicable Proposition 98 test level and the state must have paid off all maintenance factor created before 2014-15. According to multi-year forecasts published as part of the 2017-18 Governor’s Budget, no Test 1 operative years are projected through 2020-21, making a state deposit very unlikely in the near term.

3) **Exemptions for school districts already in law?** To the extent that school districts are concerned about the potential impact the cap would have on their ability to maintain adequate reserve levels and save for future and unanticipated expenditures, existing law provides two types of exemptions for school districts. First, school districts can change the way in which they treat their reserves, increasing the amount of their committed reserves and decreasing the amount of assigned and/or unassigned reserves. Reserves become committed for a specific purpose upon a vote of the district governing board. Second, a county superintendent of schools is authorized to grant a school district under its jurisdiction an exemption if a school district is able to provide documentation that demonstrates extraordinary fiscal circumstances.

4) **LAO’s assessment and recommendations.** The Legislative Analyst Office (LAO) released a report, “Analysis of School District Reserves” in January 2015. In the report, the LAO provided its assessment and recommendations on the reserve caps. Specifically, the LAO indicated, “to the extent districts begin shifting monies to avoid the caps, we are concerned that local budgeting practices could become more confusing. To the extent districts begin spending down their reserves, we are concerned that they would incur a number of risks.” The risks include difficulty for school districts to maintain programs in tight fiscal times, difficulty addressing unexpected costs, greater fiscal distress, and higher borrowing costs. The LAO also indicated concern that the caps become operative following any deposit into the state school reserve, even if the size of that deposit is smaller than the triggered reduction in local reserves. To avoid all of these risks, the LAO has recommended the Legislature repeal the reserve caps.

5) **Related Legislation.**

SB 590 (Moorlach) repeals the current maximum reserve level school districts are allowed to maintain in any year following a deposit being made into the Public School System Stabilization Account. The measure is scheduled to be heard by this Committee on April 26, 2017.

AB 235 (O’Donnell) changes the conditions under which the cap on local school district reserves would be imposed and exempts basic aid districts and small districts from the cap. The measure is pending before the Assembly Appropriations Committee.
6) **Prior Legislation.**

AB 1048 (Baker, 2015) proposed to repeal the statutory cap on the amount of fiscal reserves that a school district would be allowed to maintain under specified conditions. The measure was held in Assembly Education Committee.

AB 1318 (Gray, 2015) proposed to modify the calculation of the statutory cap on fiscal reserves. The measure was held in Assembly Education Committee.

SB 799 (Hill, 2015) would have modified various provisions in existing law related to school district budget ending reserves. The measure was held in Assembly Education Committee.

**SUPPORT**

Association of California School Administrators  
California School Boards Association (sponsor)  
Children Now  
Kings Canyon Unified School District  
Los Angeles County Office of Education  
Public Advocates  
Schools for Sound Finance

**OPPOSITION**

California Teachers Association
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